## Before the

## MAHARASHTRA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION World Trade Centre, Centre No.1, 13th Floor, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai 400005 Tel. 022 22163964/65/69 Fax 22163976

Email: mercindia@merc.gov.in

Website: www.mercindia.org.in/www.merc.gov.in

## Case No. 47 of 2015

Date: 22 December, 2015

**CORAM:** Shri. Azeez M. Khan, Member

Shri. Deepak Lad, Member

In the matter of

Petition of Maharashtra Airport Development Company for approval of provisional Tariff in pursuance of the Order dated 20 January, 2015 passed by the Commission in Case No. 149 of 2014.

(Case No. 47 of 2015)

Maharashtra Airport Development Company Limited (MA)	DC)Petitioner
V/s	
Abhijeet MADC Nagpur Energy Pvt. Ltd. (AMNEPL)	
Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd (MSEDCL)	Respondents
Maharashtra State Electricity Transmission Co. Ltd (MSETCL)	
Maharashtra State Load Dispatch Centre (MSLDC)	
Representative for Petitioner:	Ms. Rimali Batra (Adv.)
Representative for Respondents:	
Respondent No. 1:	Shri. Satish Shrikhade

## **Daily Order**

Heard the Advocate of the Petitioner and Representative of Respondent.

Advocate of MADC stated that the Commission in its Daily Order dated 18 November, 2014 issued in Case No. 149 of 2014 has allowed MADC to procure power on short term basis for supplying to its consumers and levy tariff of Rs. 4.386 per unit, which was less than the Ceiling Tariff i.e. MSEDCL's Tariff. Subsequent to above Order of the Commission, from 22 November, 2014, MADC has started supplying power to its consumers. During the period of

November 2014 to May 2015, MADC has procured the power from Power Exchanges (Rs 3.26 to Rs. 3.28 per unit) and from Lloyds (Rs. 3.50 to Rs 3.90 per unit). From June 2015 onwards, power is being procured from MSEDCL at Rs. 3.15 per unit. Purpose of present Petition is to update the status of power procurement and also for permission to continue power procurement on short term basis and levy a tariff which is lower than the ceiling Tariff.

While replying the Commission's query regarding time period for which such temporary arrangement needs to be continued, Advocate of MADC submitted that the dispute between MADC and AMNEPL on Concession Agreement is yet to be settled and will require some more time.

Representative of AMNEPL submitted that it has received a copy of Petition yesterday, and hence sought time to submit its say. The Commission allowed one week time for the same.

The Case is reserved for Orders.

Sd/-(Deepak Lad) Member Sd/-(Azeez M. Khan) Member